

Q1 This submission was completed by:

practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Q1 This submission was completed by:	
Name	Andrew Barrie
Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner
Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your	a registered clinical dental ,
submission represents	technician a registered dental technician
	a registered dental technician
Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programn	ne
Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed co	re recertification programme?
A greater emphasis on education rather than attendance	
Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?	No
Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the	Yes
recertification cycle to 12 months?	res
Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification	Yes
programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book	
assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?	
and skins:	
Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book	Annually,
	Annually, Please explain.:

toes

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Mentoring of all new graduates will give greater work skill confidence - some are left to struggle with little help or support

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

No

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for	
addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner	
behaviours you would change?	

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

One very important factor: cost to the practitioner. Those in low population areas have to spend far more (e.g. travel, accommodation) than those in large centres to access courses, mentors, peer groups.