

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name	Angela Lowe-Ho
Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner
Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your	a registered dentist or dental
submission represents	specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Very controlling via council, NZDA Dentists mostly take our professional obligations seriously and we do not need to have any of these 6 areas that we annually need to undertake. The Professional development plan and development activities are common sense and necessary, Peer review, reflection, attestation and assessment yrly is overkill and wasted time and energy all round.

sense and necessary, Peer review, reflection, attestation and assessment yny is overkin and wasted time and energy an round.	
Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?	Yes, Please explain.: Yes, keep only Professional development plan and Professional development activities no change to what we currently have. The other 4 areas are time wasting frankly and unnecessary
Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?	No, Please explain.: it is too short a time , should continue as is

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

Currently we have a reasonable system going, sure areas we can always improve, . I have just finished listening to the one hr presentation by RobynWhyman chair of the Dental Council, who i must say explained all 4 areas very well. So many compulsory activities will create additional stress for most.

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every five years

Please explain.: as stated previously, this is a unnecessary requirement.

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

nο

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Possibly this will be helpful

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No,

Please explain.: Not sure about

this

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right,

Please explain.: probably ok

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Please explain.: some will not need

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

no

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Over the top, some older practitioners are super fit others not so

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain .:

Eye testing, sure it will keep the optometrists busy, we are tested for driving anyway and only need 80%, most Dentists wear magnification anyway.

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

its a individual decline some are still very with it even at 80 and 40 is not necessarily a decline time

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

not sure about this but i understand that NZDA complaints system is helpful in thisn irection

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.: leave it to NZDA system

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

no

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

nο