

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name Rudi Johnson
Company/organisation BOPDHB

City/town Tauranga

Q2 Are you making this submission

on behalf of a company or

organisation

If group, company or organisation, please

specify:: BOPDHB

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a district health

board

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

- 1. Proposal to bring in a professional peer
- 2. Proposal to have our own professional development plans
- 3. Reflection on practice

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

1. Need more information/definition of the proposed "peer attestation" i.e. what does this mean, is this just confirmation or a more formal declaration 2. Regarding "peer attestation", what will be the consequences, if any, if your peer comes under a competency review 2. Need more information information/details about the proposed "assessment" i.e. what will this look like for practitioners, will there be any associated costs

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

Yes,

Please explain .:

1. please see our comments above, we have a lot of questions about what will be involved in the process i.e. will the attestation and assessment be done every 12 months?

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

1. Please provide more information about this i.e. what this will look like, implications (cost)

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Please explain.: please see our question above

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

In our DHB, we carry out biennial practice evaluations and maybe this process could be considered as part of the proposed process

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

- 1. We think its good that the new registrants will be required to have support
- 2. We currently have a mentoring/support programme for new registrants, which is flexible and tailored to their needs
- 3. Can you please define what you mean by mentoring, core subjects etc

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Yes,

Please explain.:

1. We would suggest setting the timeframe at one year

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

too Iona

Please explain.:

as above

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

1. Could you please look at the current DHB mentoring programmes and then set the content of a proposed programme

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

1. We agree with the 2 yearly eye testing

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

No

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

We agree with the proposal

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

1. Would you review your processes around becoming verified CPD providers?