

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner
Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your	a registered dentist or dental

Dominic Firkin

specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Nothing

submission represents

Name

Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?	Yes, Please explain.: The rules are draconian for older highly experienced practitioners

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

Please explain.: As an experienced practitioner yu are s costs and time on

doing course of no relevance to patient care or quality of care

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

No,

Please explain.:

Ok work doesn't equal clinical skill which is obvious in our recent grads Previous training and experience should be taken into account

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book **Every five** assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical years skills and knowledge is supported, how often should Please explain .: practitioners be required to complete an assessment? See Above Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain. Have a clause relating to previous experience eg if you have completed your fellowship last year why place onerous burden on completing an online course in the ne t twelve months and who sets the level Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants? No Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for No supporting new registrants you would change? Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum just right, period for the mentoring relationship is: Please explain.: Must be with accredited practitioners Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate No in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme? Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting Respondent skipped this question new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain. Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft Respondent skipped this question proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns? Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for No addressing health-related competence decline

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

concerns you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Phase two consultation on recertification

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?	Respondent skipped this question
Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?	No
Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 7: Final thoughts and comments	
Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?	Respondent skipped this question