

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name IDEN MAHMOOD

Q2 Are you making this submission

as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

nil

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

## Yes,

Please explain.:

the core is by itself is very subjective process, which merely relay on ethics and professional standards, which theoretically should these two values are already exist and in practise before this core. My point of view this extra and complicated programme II make the profession is extremely stressful and on the other hand would not improve it.

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

## No,

Please explain.:

it II be tremendous amount of extra work, subjective and even thought it would not solve the real issues or worries of the council.

### Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

#### No,

Please explain.:

assessment for what? online open book? what about the CPD requirement. if you think the dentists will sleep during CPD and just get points for that, the same issue II be for online assessment. the dentist in away II find the answers. I think this would not solve the issue as well

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every two

vears

Please explain .:

I think once a year II be too much and 5 years II be also Ing period, the 2 years period should be OK

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

NO

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

mentioner

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

too long

Please explain.: one year should be enough

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

No.

Please explain.:

To be honest the council registration exams are more tough than the undergraduate programme at the NZ and Australia, Furthermore the new ristrants mostly have years clinical of experience before starting working in NZ not like the new graduate.

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

no

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

# Phase two consultation on recertification

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?	Respondent skipped this question
Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours	
<b>Q18</b> What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?	Respondent skipped this question
Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q20</b> Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 7: Final thoughts and comments	
<b>Q21</b> Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?	Respondent skipped this question