Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

| Name | Jo Jackson |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Q2 Are you making this submission | as a registered practitioner |
| | |
| Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your | a registered dentist or dental |
| submission represents | specialist |
| | |

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

The emphasis on reflective practice and a more considered and personalised approach to CPD. Also, a more constructive use of peer contacts.

| Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change? | Yes, Please explain.: Clarity on what would suffice as a personal development plan How long should be spent on a suitable PDP? |
|---|---|
| Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months? | Yes, Please explain.: If the purpose of recertification is to improve patient safety and public confidence in the profession, then 12 months seems a reasonable timescale, provided the recertification requirements on a yearly basis are not too onerous |

Phase two consultation on recertification

| Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills? | Yes, Please explain.: Updates to guidelines, best practice and standards can be missed by a busy practitioner. There is a lot of information to sift through and it can be difficult to identify what you 'need to know' to remain current. This gives an opportunity to ensure that the most important changes are identified and emphasised. |
|--|---|
| Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment? | Every two years |
| Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain. | Respondent skipped this question |

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

The mentor concept is invaluable for new graduates and new registrants

| Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change? | Yes, Please explain.: The mentor programme could be a fixed number of years for new graduates. It may be preferable to be a flexible programme for the different categories of new registrants e.g. an experienced overseas practitioner would have different needs to a recent grad from overseas and a NZ grad who has been working overseas would have different needs again |
|---|---|
| Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is: | too , long Please explain.: It depends so much on the individual practitioner. Some will progress much more quickly than others. I think a degree of flexibility in the programme would be a good idea, provided certain competencies have been met |

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes,

Please explain.:

I think all new registrants would benefit from this opportunity.. it may not need to be compulsory for certain categories, but it would a very helpful offering for those new registrants moving to NZ from overseas, not least to support integration in to the dental community.

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Some of the information that new overseas registrants need e.g. ACC/ Dental benefits/ Referral pathways/ NZ health system etc could be delivered online.

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

| Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns? | Respondent skipped this question | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change? | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain. | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours | | |
| Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours? | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change? | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain. | Respondent skipped this question | |

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Thank you for discussing this change so openly with the profession. I think it will be a really positive step to 'own' our CPD so that it genuinely helps to improve our practice