Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name	Mark	
Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner	
<b>Q3</b> Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents	a registered dentist or dental specialist	
Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme		
<b>Q4</b> What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?	Respondent skipped this question	
<b>Q5</b> Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?	Νο	
<b>Q6</b> Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?	<b>No,</b> Please explain.: Bi-annual seems reasonable	
<b>Q7</b> Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?	Yes	
<b>Q8</b> If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?	Every two years	

<b>Q9</b> Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question	
Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants <b>Q10</b> What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?	Respondent skipped this question	
<b>Q11</b> Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?	Νο	
<b>Q12</b> Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:	just right	
<b>Q13</b> Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?	Respondent skipped this question	
<b>Q14</b> Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question	
Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns		
<b>Q15</b> What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?	Respondent skipped this question	
<b>Q16</b> Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?	No	
<b>Q17</b> Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question	
Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours		
<b>Q18</b> What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?	Respondent skipped this question	
<b>Q19</b> Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?	No	

<b>Q20</b> Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 7: Final thoughts and comments	
<b>Q21</b> Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?	Respondent skipped this question