

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:	
Name	Michelle Ting
Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner
Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents	a registered dentist or dental specialist
Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme	
Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?	Respondent skipped this question
Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?	No, Please explain.: Would much prefer the current existing system to be kept in place.
Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?	No, Please explain.: The workload required to meet the 12 months Recertification's requirements could potentially affect part time practitioner like myself to a significant extent.
Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?	No

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book Respondent skipped this question assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment? Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed Respondent skipped this question core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain. Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants? The mentoring is a good idea Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for No supporting new registrants you would change? Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum just right period for the mentoring relationship is: Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate No in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme? Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting Respondent skipped this question new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain. Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft Respondent skipped this question proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns? Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for No addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change? Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing Respondent skipped this question health-related competence decline concerns you would

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

like us to consider? Please explain.

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?	Respondent skipped this question
Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?	No
Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

I am very concerned that all these proposed changes will significantly increase the recertification fees especially for part time practitioners like myself.