

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

| Peter Gilbert |
|--------------------------------|
| |
| |
| |
| as a registered practitioner |
| |
| a registered dentist or dental |
| specialist |
| |

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Having a PDP

| Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core |
|--|
| recertification programme you would change? |

Yes,

Please explain.:

Need some sort of approved list of peers. DCNZ has previously approved peers in complaint cases who would also be seen by colleagues to be somewhat dodgy.

Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

No,

Please explain.:

Two yearly will achieve the same outcome

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

No,

Please explain.:

There is no way that this will give any reliable assessment of skills

Phase two consultation on recertification

Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Every five years

Please explain.:

The majority of practitioners are diligent and competent. Reserve the requirements for more frequent assessments for those who have more than 1 complaint against them in the previous 5 years

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

First Aid Certificate every 3 years only

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Respondent skipped this question

Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Phase two consultation on recertification

| Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain. | Respondent skipped this question |

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Respondent skipped this question