

Name Shuxuan Cheah

Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner
Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents	a registered dentist or dental specialist

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

## Yes,

Please explain.:

There will be too many new requirements that will be introduced and thus, there will be a significant change from the current, in which; could potentially cause more issues/ stress. In my opinion, there should be a gradual change to the requirements instead of the steep curve as proposed.

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

## No,

Please explain.:

Dentists lose their flexibility towards the completion of their professional development activities as the time frame is too short.

## Phase two consultation on recertification

Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification No, programme should include a requirement for Please explain.: practitioners to complete an online open-book I think this is assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge unnecessary. and skills? **Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book **Every five** assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical vears skills and knowledge is supported, how often should Please explain.: practitioners be required to complete an assessment? There won't be much changes thus frequent assessment is unnecessary. Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain. Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants? Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for No supporting new registrants you would change? Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum just right period for the mentoring relationship is: Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate Yes, in a mentoring programme, or are there some new Please explain.: registrants who should not be required to participate in all should be a mentoring programme? included. Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

## Phase two consultation on recertification

Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?	Yes, Please explain.: increase the age required to have eye checks for instance, aged 55 and above	
Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.		
Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposition behaviours?		
Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?	No	
Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.		
Page 7: Final thoughts and comments  Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or in proposals for improving our approach to recertification?  -	formation you want to share with us about the draft	