

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

**Q1** This submission was completed by:

Name

**Thasvir Singh**

**Q2** Are you making this submission

**as a registered practitioner**

**Q3** Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

Other (please specify):

Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeon

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

**Q4** What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

Nil

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

**Yes,**

Please explain.:

For those of us already employed in a DHB (especially if employed full time) this process is just duplicating and already rigorous process of audit, annual reviews, and credentialling. Both the DCNZ and DHB wish to serve and protect the public so doubling up on the process is nonsense. It will add more stress to an already stressful career.

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

**No**

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

**No,**

Please explain.:

What is the point of an open book assessment?

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

---

### Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

**Q10** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

Please explain.:  
Not required

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

Yes

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

---

### Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

**Q15** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

---

### Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

## Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

Respondent skipped this question

---