

Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name

Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner

Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents

a registered dentist or dental specialist

William Wootton

Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme

Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?

The intent to improve outcomes

**Q5** Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?

## Yes,

Please explain.:

No mentoring required except for identified problem practioners. Emphasis on upskilling workforce Requirement to identfy personal areas for improvement others

**Q6** Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?

#### No,

Please explain.:

Evidence lacking that this choice would

help

**Q7** Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?

## No,

Please explain.:

Why is such a step necessary? Is there evidence of widespread lack of technical and clinical knowledge and skills? Would be an administrative nightmare.

### Phase two consultation on recertification

**Q8** If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?

Please explain.:

Don't agree that this is either necessary or would provide useful outcomes.

**Q9** Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Make more gradual changes than these

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

no comment

**Q11** Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?

No

**Q12** Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:

just right

**Q13** Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?

No

**Q14** Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related competence decline concerns

Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?

not much

**Q16** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?

Yes,

Please explain .:

All

**Q17** Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.

no

## Phase two consultation on recertification

Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours

**Q18** What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?

no comment

**Q19** Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?

No

**Q20** Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.

no

# Page 7: Final thoughts and comments

**Q21** Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?

why is such a high level of oversight required.

Is ther evidence of a breakdown of public trust in dentists?