Page 2: Information about the person or organisation completing this submission

Q1 This submission was completed by:

Name	Yu Hoshino	
Q2 Are you making this submission	as a registered practitioner	
Q3 Please tell us which part of the sector your submission represents	a registered dentist or dental specialist	
Page 3: Area one: new core recertification programme		
Q4 What, if anything, do you like about our proposed core recertification programme?	Respondent skipped this question	
Q5 Is there anything about our proposed core recertification programme you would change?	Νο	
Q6 Do you support our proposal to change the recertification cycle to 12 months?	No, Please explain.: I believe that 12 months cycle is too early to evaluate individual PDP and we can not focus of a lot of work. I personally prefer 2 years cycle.	
Q7 Do you think our proposed core recertification programme should include a requirement for practitioners to complete an online open-book assessment of their technical and clinical knowledge and skills?	Νο	
Q8 If a proposal about an online open-book assessment of a practitioner's technical and clinical skills and knowledge is supported, how often should practitioners be required to complete an assessment?	Every two , years Please explain.: I think one year cycle is too early, but 3 years cycle is too long. 2 years cycle is just right.	

Q9 Do you have other proposals about our proposed core recertification programme you would like us to consider? Please explain.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4: Area two: support for new registrants

Q10 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for supporting new registrants?

All new registrants are automatically allocated to their mentoring program.

Q11 Is there anything about the draft proposals for supporting new registrants you would change?	Νο
Q12 Do you think the proposed two year minimum period for the mentoring relationship is:	just right
Q13 Do you think all new registrants should participate in a mentoring programme, or are there some new registrants who should not be required to participate in a mentoring programme?	No, Please explain.: No one is perfect, even an experienced practitioner sometimes makes mistake (Though they are not measure ones.). I think that it is safe for all new registrants can join in a mentoring programme.
Q14 Do you have other proposals about supporting new registrants you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 5: Area three: addressing health-related compe	etence decline concerns
Q15 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would change?	No
Q17 Do you have other proposals for addressing health-related competence decline concerns you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Area four: addressing recurring non-complia	nt practitioner behaviours
Q18 What, if anything, do you like about our draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours?	Respondent skipped this question

Q19 Is there anything about the draft proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would change?	Νο
Q20 Do you have other proposals for addressing recurring non-compliant practitioner behaviours you would like us to consider? Please explain.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 7: Final thoughts and comments	
Q21 Do you have any other comments, suggestions or information you want to share with us about the draft proposals for improving our approach to recertification?	Respondent skipped this question